

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/774,427	01/30/2001	Shunpei Yamazaki	07977/115003/US3251D1D1	3966	
20985	7590 04/01/2002				
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			EXAMINER		
4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE SUITE 500 SAN DIEGO, CA 92122			COLEMAN, V	COLEMAN, WILLIAM D	
SAN DIEGO	, CA 92122		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2823		
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/774,427	YAMAZAKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		W. David Coleman	2823			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status  1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 January 2002</u> .						
1)⊠	•	is action is non-final.				
2a)□	,		rosecution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/206,637</u> .					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/774,427

Art Unit: 2823

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

- 1. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 2. Claims 8, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wakai et al., U.S. Patent 5,821,137.
- 3. Pertaining to claims 8 and 9, <u>Wakai</u> discloses a semiconductor device as claimed. See **FIG. 4E**, where <u>Wakai</u> teaches a semiconductor device having at least one thin film transistor formed over a substrate, said thin film transistor comprising:

a semiconductor layer **66** having a source and drain region (not numbered) and a channel forming region therebetween;

a gate electrode 62 adjacent to said channel forming region with a gate insulating layer 64 interposed therebetween,

wherein said channel forming region directly contacts with source and drain regions, and also in contact with the channel region,

wherein a pair of portions containing n-type and p-type impurities are formed adjacent to said source and drain region, and

Application/Control Number: 09/774,427 Page 3

Art Unit: 2823

wherein an electrode 79 is connected to at least one of said pair of portions.

4. Pertaining to claim 10, <u>Wakai</u> discloses wherein said channel forming region contains an impurity imparting one conductivity (please note that the LDD is around the channel forming region).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakai et al., U.S. Patent 5,821,137 as applied to claims 8, 9 and 10 above, and further in view of Katayama et al., U.S. Patent 4,613,382.
- 7. <u>Wakai</u> discloses a semiconductor device substantially as claimed as discussed above. However, <u>Wakai</u> fails to disclose wherein the semiconductor film contains an impurity containing hydrogen and halogen. <u>Katayama</u> teaches wherein the semiconductor film contains hydrogen and halogen. See column 1, lines 11-57 of Katayama, where a polysilicon film contains both hydrogen and a halogen (i.e., fluorine, chlorine, bromine, and iodine). In view of <u>Katayama</u>, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a hydrogen and halogen into the semiconductor device of <u>Wakai</u> because it has a reduced influence of the grain boundaries (column 1, lines 36-38).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/774,427 Page 4

Art Unit: 2823

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. Claim 8 recites the limitation "said second source and drain regions" in line 9 on page 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

#### **Double Patenting**

- 10. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
- 11. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).
- 12. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 13. Claims 1-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,194,762. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 of U.S. Patent 6,192,762 does not explicitly teach a gate electrode adjacent to the channel forming region with a gate insulating layer interposed therebetween having an electrode connected to at least one of said pair of portions. However, these are well known and necessary features or components of MOS thin film transistors.
- 14. Claims 8-11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,194,762. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent 6,194,762 do not explicitly teach an active matrix circuit and a driver

Art Unit: 2823

circuit having a first and second thin film transistors. However, the use of first and second thin film transistors in the driver circuit and the active matrix circuit are well known.

#### Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to W. David Coleman whose telephone number is 703-305-0004. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M. Fahmy can be reached on 703-308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

W. David Coleman

Examiner
Art Unit 2823

WDC March 28, 2002